

13-07

## STATEMENT OF POLICY

### Foodborne Disease Outbreak Response

#### Policy

The National Association of County and City Health Officials (NACCHO) supports building local health department foodborne disease surveillance, investigation, testing, prevention, and response capacities to promote and improve evidence-based public health practice that reduces foodborne disease.

#### **Foodborne Disease Outbreak Response**

NACCHO supports the following:

- Ongoing interaction and collaboration among local health departments and state and federal agencies to respond rapidly and effectively to multi-jurisdictional and multi-state outbreaks and recalls.
- A team approach to foodborne outbreak response that fully engages epidemiology, environmental health, laboratory, communicable disease nursing, agriculture departments, and other food regulatory agencies, and allows for participation from emergency response and industry, as appropriate.
- Enhanced local health department workforce training for communicable disease and environmental health staff around surveillance, investigation, and response activities, including cross-training of staff (e.g., simulated events, case studies, after-action reports, webinars, and online modules).
- Training for public health students or other public health staff to fulfill surge capacity interviewing needs during an outbreak.
- Policies that enhance federal, state, and local laboratory capacity for testing clinical, food, and environmental specimens to identify and respond quickly to foodborne disease outbreaks.
- Local health department representation on national food safety and response initiatives that enhance or have an impact on the ability of local health departments to conduct food safety response activities, such as the Council to Improve Foodborne Outbreak Response, Conference for Food Protection, and the Partnership for Food Protection. These initiatives should also consider local expertise.
- Policies and training that enhance healthcare providers' ability to properly diagnose and report incidents of foodborne disease.
- A coordinated communication response for keeping the public well-informed and the message consistent in the event of a multijurisdictional outbreak.
- Paid sick leave to encourage sick restaurant and food service employees to stay home to limit the spread of foodborne disease (see NACCHO's policy statement 11-07 [Paid Sick Leave](#)).
- Preventive action along the farm-to-fork continuum aimed at improving the safety of the



food system (see NACCHO's policy statement 99-08 [Food System Safety](#)).

- The use of syndromic surveillance to inform foodborne illness outbreak investigations.
- Local health departments building foodborne disease report databases to track illness reports, identify outbreaks, and aid with reporting.
- Federal efforts to phase out the non-therapeutic use of critical antimicrobial drugs and growth hormones in food-producing animals (see NACCHO's policy statement 12-09 [Antimicrobials in Animals](#)).
- Policies and training that support local and state health department reporting of data from outbreak investigations to CDC's foodborne illness outbreak surveillance systems (National Outbreak Reporting System (NORS); National Environmental Assessment Reporting System (NEARS)).<sup>1,2</sup>

### **Foodborne Disease Response Funding**

In funding for foodborne disease response, NACCHO:

- Supports the development of methods for reimbursement from federal and state governments to local health departments for special requests and assistance during foodborne disease outbreaks and recalls.
- Supports enhanced federal, state, and local funding for local health departments' food safety capacity and infrastructure, and for routine public health activities related to foodborne-illness surveillance, investigation, and control.
- Supports additional federal, state, and local funding to build and improve communication, coordination, and partnerships to improve foodborne disease outbreak response (for example for federal agencies, state and local health departments, emergency preparedness programs, food industry, consumers, and public health professional organizations).
- Urges Congress to appropriate funds authorized in the Food Safety Modernization Act (FSMA) for activities related to foodborne disease outbreak response.
- Endorses the inspector/inspection ratio as described in the FDA Voluntary National Retail Food Regulatory Program Standards' (Retail Program Standards) Standard 8: Program Support and Resources.

### **Justification**

Foodborne illnesses are diseases or infections caused by consuming contaminated food or drink. While single cases of foodborne illness are common, the true number of foodborne outbreaks is not known because of underreporting and/or misdiagnosis. What we do know is that foodborne illness in the United States is estimated to cause 48 million cases of illness, over 128,000 hospitalizations, and 3,000 deaths each year.<sup>3</sup> The proportion of cases of foodborne illness reported to public health authorities can depend on the severity of the case, medical provider and consumer reporting rates to health officials, and surveillance capacity at the state and local levels.<sup>4</sup>

A specific pathogen cause can be identified in only 20% (9.4 million) of the 48 million illness cases. In cases when a pathogen can be identified, over 90% of these cases are caused by only 15 pathogens. According to a report from the U.S. Department of Agriculture's Economic Research Service, foodborne illnesses pose an annual economic burden of over \$15.5 billion.<sup>5</sup> Foodborne illness remains a major threat to public health, and local health departments serve as the front-line defense against foodborne disease outbreaks. Improving consumer education,

strengthening reporting requirements, and building local health department capacity to respond to foodborne disease outbreaks will continue to be critical in reducing the impact of foodborne illness.

Each reported case of foodborne illness is identified, investigated, and controlled primarily at the local and state levels. State and local governments investigate the majority of foodborne illnesses and are responsible for sampling food products for contamination during an outbreak investigation.<sup>6</sup> According to the CDC, of the 5,760 foodborne outbreaks reported from 2009–2015, 3% were multistate, but resulted in 11% of illnesses, 34% of hospitalizations, and 54% of deaths.<sup>7</sup> The first steps taken by local and state health departments are critical to preventing and responding to foodborne illness in the U.S. Furthermore, coordinating foodborne surveillance, investigations, and control efforts among the local, state, and federal levels is crucial, because a disproportionate amount of outbreak-associated hospitalizations and deaths are attributed to multistate foodborne outbreaks, compared with single-state outbreaks in the U.S.

Paid sick leave for food service workers and health department inspection staff could help limit the spread of foodborne disease in retail food establishments. For example, the CDC found that infected food workers transmitted 70% of foodborne noroviruses.<sup>8</sup> According to the Department of Labor, 75% of hospitality and food service workers do not have paid sick leave.<sup>9</sup> In a survey of food workers, nearly 90% responded that they went to work sick. Of those who went to work sick, 45% said they worked because they could not afford to lose the pay.<sup>10</sup>

According to NACCHO's 2016 survey of local health departments, 79% of local health departments regulate food service establishments and 77% conduct food safety education activities.<sup>11</sup> Expanding resources at the local level may prevent potential foodborne outbreaks and control the spread of illness. Federal funds allocated to local health departments for food safety have been modest. Increased financial support is necessary to help local health departments continue to further enhance their surveillance, investigation, and control of foodborne disease outbreaks. In addition, the FDA Retail Program Standards recommend a “staffing level of one full-time equivalent devoted to food for every 280–320 inspections performed. Inspections for purposes of this calculation include routine inspections, re-inspections, complaint investigations, outbreak investigations, compliance follow-up inspections, risk assessment reviews, process reviews, variance process reviews, and other direct establishment contact time, such as on-site training.”<sup>12</sup> Less than 3% of jurisdictions enrolled in the Retail Program Standards have been verified to meeting this recommended level of staffing.<sup>13</sup>

## **References**

1. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2018). National Outbreak Reporting System (NORS). Retrieved on August 29, 2019 from <http://www.cdc.gov/nors/>.
2. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2019). National Environmental Assessment Reporting System (NEARS). Retrieved on August 29, 2019 from <http://www.cdc.gov/nceh/ehs/nears/>.
3. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2011). *CDC 2011 estimates of foodborne illness in the United States*. Retrieved on August 29, 2019 from <http://www.cdc.gov/foodborneburden/2011-foodborne-estimates.html>.
4. Lynch, M., Painter, J., Woodruff, R., & Braden, C. (2006). Surveillance for foodborne-disease outbreaks — United States, 1998–2002. *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report*, 55, SS-10. Retrieved on October 6, 2016 from <http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/PDF/ss/ss5510.pdf>.
5. Hoffmann, Sandra, Bryan Maculloch, and Michael Batz. (2015). *Economic Burden of Major Foodborne*

*Illnesses Acquired in the United States*, EIB-140, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Economic Research Service.

6. Myers, Lee M. (2007). Myers Testimony before House Committee on Homeland Security: National Association of State Departments of Agriculture.
7. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2018). Surveillance for Foodborne Disease Outbreaks—United States, 2009–2015. Retrieved on August 29, 2019 from <https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/volumes/67/ss/ss6710a1.htm>
8. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, (2014). CDC Vital Signs, “Preventing Norovirus Outbreaks,” Retrieved on September 27, 2016 from <http://www.cdc.gov/vitalsigns/norovirus/>.
9. United States Department of Labor. (2015). Getting the Facts on Paid Sick Time. Retrieved on September 27, 2016 from <https://www.dol.gov/featured/paidleave/get-the-facts-sicktime.pdf>.
10. Center for Research and Public Policy and Alchemy. (2015). The Mind of the Food Worker—Behaviors and Perceptions that Impact Safety and Operations. Retrieved on September 27, 2016 from [http://cdn2.hubspot.net/hubfs/403157/Mind\\_of\\_the\\_Food\\_Worker\\_Report.pdf?submissionGuid=7e8f33aa-26e6-40d2-addc-712fb28bda3a](http://cdn2.hubspot.net/hubfs/403157/Mind_of_the_Food_Worker_Report.pdf?submissionGuid=7e8f33aa-26e6-40d2-addc-712fb28bda3a).
11. National Association of County and City Health Officials. (2016). NACCHO. *2016 National profile of local health departments*. Retrieved August 29, 2019 from [http://nacchoprofilestudy.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/10/ProfileReport\\_Aug2017\\_final.pdf](http://nacchoprofilestudy.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/10/ProfileReport_Aug2017_final.pdf)
12. Food and Drug Administration. *Voluntary National Retail Regulatory Program Standards – January 2017*. Retrieved on August 29, 2019 from <https://www.fda.gov/media/86864/download>.
13. Food and Drug Administration. *Listing of Jurisdictions in EXCEL*. Retrieved on August 29, 2019 from <https://www.fda.gov/food/voluntary-national-retail-food-regulatory-program-standards/listing-jurisdictions-enrolled-voluntary-national-retail-food-regulatory-program-standards>.

### **Record of Action**

*Proposed by NACCHO Food Safety Workgroup*

*Adopted by NACCHO Board of Directors May 15, 2013*

*Updated October 2016*

*Updated February 2020*